



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

HCT  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/389,428	09/03/1999	HANS-JUERGEN KUHR	BMID9813US	5380

25267 7590 01/16/2003

BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP  
135 N PENNSYLVANIA ST  
SUITE 2700  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204

EXAMINER

NGO, LIEN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3727

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# **Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/389,428

Applicant(s)

KUHR ET AL.

Examiner

LIEN TM NGO

Art Unit

3727

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LIEN TM NGO.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Cristine Orich (attorney).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nguyen et al. (5,829,589) and Jones (4,812,878).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of claim 22 over the Nguyen et al and Jones patents. No agreement was reached that the two patents do not define a transport device for transporting lancets as in claim 22. A formal response to the final office action will be filed, and a further reconsiderations will follow.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required